

YW



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
 United States Patent and Trademark Office  
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,398	06/24/2002	Chandan Das	112740-519	7628

29177 7590 04/07/2005

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC  
 P. O. BOX 1135  
 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135

EXAMINER

RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2643

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/049,398

Applicant(s)

DAS ET AL.

Examiner

Melur Ramakrishnaiah

Art Unit

2643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 June 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 17-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-29-2005.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 17-19, 20, 21-22, 26-27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuzama (US PAT: 5,951,637).

Regarding claim 17, Kuzama discloses a method for providing a narrowband data link for transmitting data between a subscriber terminal and a data network linked to a digital telephone exchange of a public switched telephone network, the method comprising the steps of: connecting the subscriber terminal in analog to digital telephone exchange (not shown), and providing the narrowband data link to the subscriber terminal (110, figs. 1-2) as a permanently available link (col. 3 lines 28-67, lines 18-21).

Regarding claim 18, 20, 26, 27, Kuzama further teaches the following: step of providing a virtual data link via a separate data network for transmitting data between access unit (not shown ) existing in the digital telephone exchange for connecting the subscriber terminal (110, fig. 1) and an access point to the data network (113, col. 3 lines 19-27), providing at least one switched dial-up connection, via which IP packets can be transmitted , for transmitting data between an access unit existing in the digital

Art Unit: 2643

telephone exchange for connecting the subscriber terminal and an access point (col. 3 lines 61-67, col. 4 lines 1-9), adding and terminating a user channel, as a result of at least one of a request and transmission bandwidth needed, between a subscriber terminal and an access point via digital telephone exchange (not shown, col. 4 lines 25-28), data is transmitted between the subscriber terminal and the data network by using TCP/IP and HTTP protocols (implied as the reference teaches establishing web sessions, col. 3 lines 56-60) and an HTML description language (col. 4 lines 58-61).

Regarding claims 19, 21-22, Kuzama teaches the following: providing a signaling channel via the public switched telephone network for transmitting the data between an access unit existing in the digital telephone exchange for connecting the subscriber terminal and access point to the data network (col. 3 lines 19-43), step of integrating functions of an access point to the data network in an area of an access unit of a digital telephone exchange, transmitting data between an access unit for connecting the subscriber terminal and access which exhibit the functions of an access point via internal messages within the digital telephone exchange (col. 3 lines 28-42).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2643

4. Claims 24-25, 29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuzama in view of Patrick (EP 0684741).

Regarding claims 24-25, 29, Kuzama does not teach the following: the data is transmitted as a frequency shift keying signals from the subscriber terminal to the digital telephone exchange, the data is transmitted as a frequency shift keying signals from the digital telephone exchange to the subscriber terminal, the data are transmitted between the subscriber terminal and an access point to the data network using an analog display service interface protocol.

However, Patrick discloses connectionless information service delivery which teaches the following: the data is transmitted as a frequency shift keying signals from the subscriber terminal to the digital telephone exchange, the data is transmitted as a frequency shift keying signals from the digital telephone exchange to the subscriber terminal, the data are transmitted between the subscriber terminal and an access point to the data network using an analog display service interface protocol (col. 1, line 54 – col. 2, line 57).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Kuzama's system to provide for the following: the data is transmitted as a frequency shift keying signals from the subscriber terminal to the digital telephone exchange, the data is transmitted as a frequency shift keying signals from the digital telephone exchange to the subscriber terminal, the data are transmitted between the subscriber terminal and an access point to the data network using an analog display

Art Unit: 2643

service interface protocol as this arrangement would facilitate use of well known data transmission techniques for information transmission as taught by Patrick.

5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuzama in view of Ali et al. (US PAT: 6,233,323, filed 4-10-1998, hereinafter Ali).

Regarding claim 23, Kuzama does not teach the following: data are transmitted as a dual-tone multi-frequency signals (DTMF) from the subscriber terminal to the digital telephone exchange.

However, Ali teaches the following: transmitting data using DTMF signals (col. 1 lines 6-12).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Kuzama's system to provide for the following: data are transmitted as a dual-tone multi-frequency signals (DTMF) from the subscriber terminal to the digital telephone exchange as this arrangement would provide another well known means of transmitting data as taught by Ali.

6. Claims 28, 30-1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuzama in view of Joong (US PAT: 6,549,776, filed 7-30-1999).

Regarding claims 28, 30-31, Kuzama does not teach the following: data are transmitted between the subscriber terminal and an access point to the data network using a wireless application protocol, connecting the digital telephone exchange to the gateway computer, and converting, via gateway computer, the data format of the data, originating from the subscriber terminal and subsequently transmitted into a data format according to one of TCP/IP protocol and a wireless application protocol into a format

Art Unit: 2643

according to one of frequency shift keying signals and analog display service interface protocol

However, Joong discloses system and method and apparatus for pushing data in a direct digital call environment which teaches the following: data are transmitted between the subscriber terminal (105) and an access point (140, fig. 1) to the data network (1112) using a wireless application protocol, connecting the digital telephone exchange (reads on 120, fig. 1) to the gateway computer (140, fig. 1), and converting, via gateway computer, the data format of the data, originating from the subscriber terminal and subsequently transmitted into a data format according to one of HTTP protocol and a wireless application protocol (col. 4 lines 3-18).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Kuzama's system to provide for the following: data are transmitted between the subscriber terminal and an access point to the data network using a wireless application protocol, connecting the digital telephone exchange to the gateway computer, and converting, via gateway computer, the data format of the data, originating from the subscriber terminal and subsequently transmitted into a data format according to one of TCP/IP protocol and a wireless application protocol into a format according to one of frequency shift keying signals and analog display service interface protocol as this arrangement would make it possible for transmitting data using different protocols necessitated by application requirements as taught by Joong.

7. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuzama in view of Christe, IV et al. (US PAT: 6,549,621, hereinafter Christe).

Regarding claim 32, Kuzama does not teach the following: transmitting text data from an access point to the data network into a memory of subscriber terminal, and transmitting formatting instructions for displaying the text data stored in the memory to the subscriber terminal.

However, Christe discloses method and system for integrating a computer and a telephone which teaches the following: transmitting text data from an access point (reads on 22, figs. 1-2) to the data network (24, fig. 2) into a memory of subscriber terminal, and transmitting formatting instructions for displaying the text data stored in the memory to the subscriber terminal (col. 5 lines 47-65).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Kuzama's system to provide for the following: transmitting text data from an access point to the data network into a memory of subscriber terminal, and transmitting formatting instructions for displaying the text data stored in the memory to the subscriber terminal as this arrangement would facilitate the user to receive a message and display it on his terminal as taught by Christe, thus making it possible for the user to receive useful information during a data session.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (703) 305-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00; every other F Off.



Art Unit: 2643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703)305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Melur Ramakrishnaiah  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2643